

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR

Date: July 16, 2026

I. PURPOSE.

DoW Supply Discrepancy Reporting (SDR) Process Review Committee (PRC) monthly call series to discuss ongoing SDR issues within the DoW and other Federal agencies.

a. Announcements:

(1) Please use the Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) SDR [ServiceNow](#) tile to request support, training, and to submit change requests and general questions. Submitting all future requests through ServiceNow will allow us to track activity to streamline project management, enable strategic prioritization, enhance visibility, and provide metrics to support resourcing justifications. It provides a central location to communicate in a more recognizable and traceable manner. A [desk guide](#) is available for use.

II. ATTENDEES.

DoW Component/ Service/Agency	Name (Primary)	Name (Alternate)	Name	Name
ODASW(Log)	☐ Meka Harrell	☐ Ian Nisley	☐ Jessica Rainey	☐ Jose Reyes
DEDSO	☒ Corbin Austin	☐ Steve Nace	–	–
DAAS (cont.)	☐ Pablo Gomez	☐ Scott Amburgey ☐ Jeremi Ruby	☐ Sean Humenansky	–
	☒ Bonny Haynes	☒ Robert Williams ☒ Jessica Lee	☒ Kimberly Roy	☒ William Saccone
DLA HQ	☒ Troy Brown	☒ Jose Pereira	☐ Jen Smith	☒ Eric Powell
U.S. Army	☐ Tiffany Johnson	☐ Summer Mallard	☒ Mirna Cordero	–
USASAC FMS	☐ Erik Morales	☐ Jeannette Guy	–	–
U.S. Marine Corps	☐ MGySgt Jermaine Otey	☒ Steve Williams	☒ Ted Wiechman	–
U.S. Navy/PDREP	☒ Krystal Abreu	☒ Adam Altice	☒ Alex Sparks	–
U.S. Navy FMS	☒ Jeri Davis	☐ Barry Magagna III	–	–
U.S. Air Force	☒ William Wenzel	–	–	–
AFSAC FMS	☒ Mark Passage	☒ Cedell Tarver	–	–
U.S. Coast Guard	☒ Fred Haub	–	–	–
DCMA	☒ Laurie Cooper	☒ Richard Mast	–	–
DSCA	☒ Derrick Lee	–	–	–
GSA	☒ Ben Hyatt	☐ Nancy Bamford	–	–
USTRANSCOM	☐ Dan Eisenberg	☐ James DeCarli	–	–

All Call Participants: Please MUTE your phone during the call unless responding or asking a question. Do not place the call on HOLD.

Reminders: SDR records contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI). Please remember to mark CUI and encrypt when attaching or sending SDR details via e-mail.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – July 16, 2026

III. ACTION ITEMS.

Date Opened	Action	Assigned To	Date Due	Date Closed	Status
02/11/2025	Proposed Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (PDC) 1492, Mandatory Use of Shipment Notification.	☐ DLA ☐ ODASW(Log) ☐ U.S. Air Force ☐ AFSAC ☐ U.S. Army ☐ U.S. Marine Corps ☐ U.S. Navy ☐ U.S. Coast Guard ☐ DAAS ☐ USTRANSCOM	06/16/2026	06/22/2026	CLOSED
12/11/2025	Annual Appointment Letter revalidation.	☒ DLA ☒ USASAC ☒ U.S. Navy FMS ☒ U.S. Air Force ☒ AFSAC ☒ U.S. Army ☒ U.S. Marine Corps ☒ U.S. Navy ☒ U.S. Coast Guard ☒ DAAS ☒ GSA ☒ DCMA ☐ DSCA ☒ USTRANSCOM	01/30/2026	N/A	OPEN
04/02/2026	SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 1, Idea 2, page 2 DEDSO will schedule future meetings with the PRC Representatives to continue discussing the proposal. Remove the 300-series reply codes and owner/manager/source of supply (OMS) values and route all transactions through 504 transactions to simplify system logic and reduce rejections, particularly for Type 7 SDRs. The proposal would standardize Type 7 processing from the customer to the distribution activity and then to the inventory control point.	☐ DEDSO	06/09/2026	06/09/2026	CLOSED
04/02/2026	SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 1, Idea 3, page 2 DEDSO will schedule future meetings with the PRC Representatives to continue discussing the proposal. Eliminate legacy document types A, N, and P SDRs because Types 8, 9, and R already cover the same functions.	☐ DEDSO	06/09/2026	06/09/2026	CLOSED

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – July 16, 2026

Date Opened	Action	Assigned To	Date Due	Date Closed	Status
04/02/2026	SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 1, Idea 5, page 2 PRC Representatives not in attendance must provide feedback to DEDSO concerning the proposal. Introduce set purpose code “06” to transmit Reply Code “103” as a receipt acknowledgment.	☒ DLA ☐ USASAC ☐ U.S. Navy FMS ☐ U.S. Air Force ☒ AFSAC ☐ U.S. Army ☐ U.S. Marine Corps ☒ U.S. Navy ☐ U.S. Coast Guard ☐ GSA ☐ DCMA ☐ DSCA	06/12/2026	06/12/2026	CLOSED
04/02/2026	SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 1, Idea 6, page 2 PRC Representatives not in attendance must provide feedback to DEDSO concerning the proposal. Adds qualifier C9 in the DLMS 842A/R transaction so Types 6, 7, and V can include the credit memo number. DEDSO notes that future Supply Transaction Working Group decisions may convert credits to buy/sell actions, which would remove this proposal from consideration.	☒ DLA ☐ USASAC ☐ U.S. Navy FMS ☐ U.S. Air Force ☒ AFSAC ☒ U.S. Army ☐ U.S. Marine Corps ☒ U.S. Navy ☐ U.S. Coast Guard ☐ GSA ☐ DCMA ☐ DSCA	06/12/2026	06/12/2026	CLOSED
04/02/2026	SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 1, Idea 7, page 3 DEDSO will schedule a future meeting with the PRC Representatives to continue discussing the proposal. Authorize automatic credit for non-shortage/overage-related discrepancies for customer Type 6/7 SDRs. Direct customers to return the material, which eliminates dual actions, such as issuing credit and later providing disposition on a Type 8. DEDSO notes that future Supply Transaction Working Group decisions may convert credits to buy/sell actions, which would remove this proposal from consideration.	☐ DLA ☐ USASAC ☐ U.S. Navy FMS ☐ U.S. Air Force ☐ AFSAC ☐ U.S. Army ☐ U.S. Marine Corps ☐ U.S. Navy ☐ U.S. Coast Guard ☐ GSA ☐ DSCA	07/16/2026		OPEN

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – July 16, 2026

Date Opened	Action	Assigned To	Date Due	Date Closed	Status
04/02/2026	SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 1, Idea 10, page 3 PRC Representatives will review and determine if changing the text will impact their Component. DEDSO will schedule a future meeting with the PRC Representatives to continue discussing the proposal. Update the narrative text for cause codes “EL,” “FD,” “OA,” and “SI” to align with Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) EBS terminology. Without updated text, EBS would need to create four new codes.	☐ DLA ☐ USASAC ☐ U.S. Navy FMS ☐ U.S. Air Force ☐ AFSAC ☐ U.S. Army ☒ U.S. Marine Corps ☐ U.S. Navy ☐ U.S. Coast Guard ☐ GSA ☐ DSCA	07/16/2026		OPEN
04/02/2026	SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 3, page 4 All Components will determine whether they map or use inspection type code values and provide feedback to DEDSO.	☐ DLA ☐ USASAC ☐ U.S. Navy FMS ☒ U.S. Air Force ☐ AFSAC ☐ U.S. Army ☐ U.S. Marine Corps ☐ U.S. Navy ☐ U.S. Coast Guard ☐ GSA	06/12/2026		OPEN
04/02/2026	Action Item 10: SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 5, page 5 Each Component will gather internal process flows from their contracting offices identifying how vendors are notified of discrepancies. DEDSO will add this as a topic for a future working group meeting. Be prepared to share and discuss this information during the next working group meeting on July 16, 2026.	☐ DLA ☐ USASAC ☐ U.S. Navy FMS ☐ U.S. Air Force ☒ AFSAC ☐ U.S. Army ☐ U.S. Marine Corps ☐ U.S. Navy ☐ U.S. Coast Guard ☐ GSA ☐ DCMA ☐ DSCA	07/16/2026		OPEN

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – July 16, 2026

IV. AGENDA.

a. Action Item 10: SDR PRC 26-1 Minutes Topic 5: Components will share their internal process flows from their contracting offices identifying how vendors are notified of discrepancies.

(1) U.S. Navy:

(a) Navy uses Product Data Reporting and Evaluation Program (PDREP) to pull shipper and Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code data for identifying noncompliant vendors (Type 8 and Type 9 SDRs repair-related contracts).

(b) This data is no longer feeding from Web Supply Discrepancy Reporting (WebSDR) into PDREP, breaking their normal reporting process.

(c) A customer support ticket has been submitted to resolve the missing X12 transaction data.

(d) Temporary workaround: Leverage CAGE code data present on the National Stock Number item line until the shipper qualifier issue is fixed.

(e) Navy compiles SDR counts/trends, then forwards them to contracting officers (1102s) and the Defense Contract Management Agency. These offices are responsible for notifying vendors.

(f) Questions were raised about SDR Types (e.g., Why only Types 8 and 9? Why not include Type 6?).

(g) Concerns were expressed that Navy may be reporting SDRs before they are fully researched/closed.

(h) Reinforcement of correct cause code usage is necessary due to Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS) dependence, especially contractor noncompliance codes “CN,” “CS,” and “CP.”

(i) Navy to:

1. Reevaluate which SDR types should be included.

2. Ensure SDRs are only included after proper closure.

3. Reinforce mandatory, internal cause code usage.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – July 16, 2026

(2) U.S. Marine Corps (USMC):

(a) 07/15/2026: USMC uses the PDREP to document direct vendor or contractor deliveries received by USMC units. Shipment discrepancies are reported through SDRs, which are keyed to the Routing Identifier Code (RIC) or CAGE code listed on Department of Defense Form 1348-1. This process ensures that supplier performance data is captured and integrated into the SPRS.

(b) 07/15/2026: For USMC managed (i.e., MPB) stock, the DLA processes all receipts entering inventory. In cases where contract or manufacturer shipments contain discrepancies, DLA submits the SDR and the USMC provides the disposition and determines the cause code/contractor noncompliance.

(3) DLA:

(a) DEDSO walked through the DLA vendor-related SDR process flow.

(b) DLA J7 is currently reviewing the flow, and it should be approved for use in the next couple of weeks.

(c) **Update 07/16/2026:** After a discrepancy is validated, the post-award team contacts the vendor. Depending on the situation, it may result in a Return to Vendor (RTV). Regardless of whether the material is returned, the vendor is notified of the non-compliance. For minor discrepancies resolved at the distribution center, an administrative advisory notification is issued to the responsible party. For PQDRs, the notification is more formal.

(4) General Services Administration (GSA):

(a) GSA does not use cause codes like DoW does.

(b) SDRs route through Vision and GSA:

1. Notifies vendors directly via e-mail.

2. Escalates to contracting officers if vendors do not respond.

(5) U.S. Coast Guard (USCG):

(a) Very small volume of SDRs.

(b) Most items purchased commercially.

(c) SDRs route through internal systems to product managers, then contracting.

(d) Some SDRs go to DLA, but process may be manual.

(e) Will verify whether USCG uses the required cause codes. Information will be shared during our working group meeting on July 16th.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – July 16, 2026

(f) Update 7/16/2026: No additional information to provide.

(6) U.S. Air Force (USAF):

(a) Key participants were absent; Danielle Woods-Lewis will follow up offline.

(b) No confirmed process yet for how USAF contracting or Integrated Logistics System – Supply (ILS-S) vendors notify suppliers.

(c) Update 7/16/2026: No information available; DEDSO will follow up via e-mail.

(7) U.S. Army:

(a) Identify discrepancy during receipt processing

1. Create an SDR using DLM 4000.25 procedures.

2. Include all supporting data to determine whether the contractor/vendor is responsible.

(b) Assign responsibility for the discrepancy

1. Action activity evaluates evidence and determines if the contractor/manufacturer/vendor caused the issue.

2. Prepare to initiate vendor notification if contractor responsibility is confirmed.

(c) Notify contractor/vendor of the discrepancy

1. Send formal SDR notification to the contractor.

2. Provide required details: discrepancy description, documentation, required corrective action, and response expectations.

3. Follow AR 735 11 2 / DLAI 4140.55 policy for timelines (e.g., 75 day window for action activity responses).

(d) Receive contractor/vendor response

1. Contractor provides corrective action plan, disposition instructions, or rebuttal.

2. Contractor supports financial adjustments, replacements, or returns as required.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – July 16, 2026

(e) Apply FAR required nonconformance notifications (if applicable)

1. FAR 46.317 / 52.246 26: Contractor must notify the government within 60 days of discovering nonconforming items.

2. FAR 46.407: Contracting Officer must notify contractor and allow correction or replacement of nonconforming supplies.

(f) Apply DFARS critical safety notification requirements (if applicable)

1. DFARS 252.246 7003: Contractor notifies Administrative Contracting Officer/ Procuring Contracting Officer within 72 hours for critical safety item discrepancies.

2. Written follow-up required within five working days.

3. Contractor must also notify subcontractors/vendors when appropriate.

b. New WebSDR Rejection Rule:

(1) The Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS) SDR Team is developing a rejection rule to prevent Component Systems from sending transactions identifying another Component's RIC/Department of Defense Activity Address Code (DoDAAC) as the 'From' activity.

(2) This should not occur since it skews the data.

(3) **Example:** A user in the PDREP system submitted a response to an SDR, but it incorrectly reflected a DLA Distribution Center DoDAAC as the source of the disposition.

c. DAAS Website Identity, Credential, and Access Management (ICAM) Transition Notification:

(1) DLA Weapons Support noticed a message on the DAAS Website referring to ICAM and requested additional information concerning the impact it would have on users.

(2) DAAS Response:

(a) Worst-case scenario, users may need to resubmit a System Access Request (SAR), however, the team does not expect any break in service.

(b) The old site will remain active during the transition to ensure a smooth changeover.

(c) Communications and a new website link will be sent out when it is time to submit a new SAR.

d. ICAM/WebSDR Permissions Report Review:

(1) Based on recent tickets we have received involving user permissions updates, Sean has generated a report listing current WebSDR user permissions.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes

SDR – July 16, 2026

(2) We believe PRC Representatives/Components need to review and validate their user base permissions on an annual basis to ensure only authorized users have access.

(3) We will be tailoring the reports to each Component and will share them with the PRC Representatives within the next couple of weeks.

(a) Each Component must review and validate user access within **30 days** after the reports are sent.

(b) Users with unrestricted access that are not validated will have roles removed and must resubmit a SAR.

(c) **Update: Sean is still working on developing the reports to send to each Component.**

e. Navy FMS/PDREP Issue – ADC 1499:

(1) Navy FMS raised an issue regarding SDRs submitted by their office being rejected by WebSDR due to PDREP mistakenly implementing the new “IL” qualifier code. Implementation of the code was delayed by DEDSO/DAAS while they tried to work through mapping issues.

(2) PDREP will roll back the implementation and retransmit the approximately 30 pending SDRs when they deploy the fix in the next one to two weeks.

(3) DEDSO has proposed removing the International Logistics Control Office (ILCO) unique codes (“SE” / “IL”) from the implementation conventions and move to qualifier codes “PU” and “RZ” used by the other Components.

(4) If the ILCOs agree with the change, then the change will be documented in the Chapter 17 rewrite PDC.

f. PDC 1492, Mandatory Use of Shipment Notification (Supply/SDR):

(1) The PDC was signed and sent out on May 13, 2026; responses are due June 16, 2026.

(2) This change reemphasizes the requirement to transmit the following for all types of shipments prior to delivery, including New Procurement, Other Than New Procurement, Directed Returns, Unauthorized Returns, and Stock Transfer Orders:

(a) Shipment notification (i.e., DLMS 856S Shipment Status, DLMS 856R Shipment Status – Returns, and DLMS 856P Procurement and Advanced Shipment Notice

(b) DLMS 527D Pre-Positioned Materiel Receipt

(c) **Update: ADC sent to the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of War (Logistics) for signature on 07/14/2026.**

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office Meeting Notes SDR – July 16, 2026

V. RECENT TOPICS AND NOTES FROM PREVIOUS CALLS.

a. Chapter 17 Rewrite:

(1) The Defense Logistics Manual (DLM) 4000.25 is now a living document. DEDSO received approval from the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of War for Logistics to include all updates as they are approved, versus updates being completed every six months.

(2) After internal discussions, DEDSO has decided to rewrite the entire chapter rather than attempt to partially withdraw ADCs.

(a) Partial withdrawals would make it more difficult for the Components to determine what changes still need to be implemented.

(b) Moving forward, the intent for Chapter 17 is that there will be less changes, and the changes that are required will be grouped together under one ADC that will update the entire chapter at one time.

(3) Once the rewrite is complete and the ADC is signed, all future ADCs will update the entire chapter, rather than specific paragraphs.

(4) Components must implement the current chapter version and implementation conventions to achieve DLMS compliance.

(a) There will be a five-year grace period for implementation.

(b) After the five-year mark, DAAS WebSDR will begin sending rejections, when applicable, based on new rejection logic.

(c) Existing rules will continue to be enforced and are not impacted by the grace period.

VI. FINAL WRAP-UP.

To view relevant DLMS changes, please go to: [Approved DLMS Changes \(dla.mil\)](https://dla.mil/Approved-DLMS-Changes)

VII. SDR WORKING GROUP MEETING NOTES.

To view the most recent bi-monthly SDR implementation meeting notes, please go to the [SDR Committee Webpage](#) and click the SDR Working Group Notes tab.

VIII. ACTIVITIES AND NEWSLETTER.

DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS community are posted on the [DEDSO Website](#).